#### FINAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

### Institutional Quality Assurance Program (IQAP) Review

**Engineering Physics Graduate Programs (M.A.Sc, M.Eng., Ph.D.)** 

Date of Review: April 29th and 30th

In accordance with the University Institutional Quality Assurance Process (IQAP), this final assessment report provides a synthesis of the external evaluation and the internal response and assessments of the graduate programs delivered by Engineering Physics. This report identifies the significant strengths of the program, together with opportunities for program improvement and enhancement, and it sets out and prioritizes the recommendations that have been selected for implementation.

The report includes an Implementation Plan that identifies who will be responsible for approving the recommendations set out in the Final Assessment Report; who will be responsible for providing any resources entailed by those recommendations; any changes in organization, policy or governance that will be necessary to meet the recommendations and who will be responsible for acting on those recommendations; and timelines for acting on and monitoring the implementation of those recommendations.

### **Executive Summary of the Review**

In accordance with the Institutional Quality Assurance Process (IQAP), the Engineering Physics program submitted a self-study in March 2021 to the Vice-Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies to initiate the cyclical program review of its graduate programs. The approved self-study presented program descriptions, learning outcomes, and analyses of data provided by the Office of Institutional Research and Analysis. Appendices to the self-study contained all course outlines associated with the program and the CVs for each full-time member in the department.

Two arm's length external reviewers and one internal reviewer were endorsed by the Dean, Faculty of Engineering, and selected by the Vice-Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies. The review team reviewed the self-study documentation and then conducted a remote review on April 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup>, 2021. The review included interviews with the Provost and Vice-President (Academic); Vice-Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies, Associate Dean, Graduate Studies and Research, chair of the department and meetings with groups of current students, full-time faculty and support staff.

The Chair of the Department and the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering submitted responses to the Reviewers' Report (May 2021). Specific recommendations were discussed and clarifications and corrections were presented. Follow-up actions and timelines were included.

# • Strengths

- o Quality of Research
- o Research Infrastructure

# • Areas for Improvement

- o Graduate course availability
- Inclusion
- o Student experience
- o Student recruitment
- o Graduate student financial support
- o MEng program

# <u>Summary of the Reviewers' Recommendations with the Department's and Dean's Responses</u>

Recommendation	Proposed Follow-Up	Responsibility for	Timeline for Addressing
		Leading Follow-Up	Recommendation
Graduate course	The department will	Department/Department	Update at 18-month
availability: A list of	review our graduate	Chair	follow-up report
"primary" courses would	course offerings in the		
help build up a more	following 4 directions: a)		
structured ordering of	increasing the course		
courses that are	requirements which will		
consistently offered year-	increase the demand for		
to-year so that graduate	courses, making it		
students know what	possible to make more		
courses are expected to	available, b) adding new		
be offered throughout	courses to meet needs		
their program. A cross-	where possible, c) cross-		
linking of courses with an	listing courses from other		
ENG PHYS designation to	departments and d)		
courses in other	planning primary course		
departments may also	offerings to be offered		
help make the selection	on a regular schedule.		
of courses in EngPhys	The improved course		
more appealing to	offerings will be		
students. Clear	communicated to our		
messaging and active	students through various		
encouragement for	media.		
graduate students to take			
cross-listed and out of			
department courses will			
help alleviate student			
concerns about limited			
department course			
offerings.			
Inclusion: It is	As part of the	Department/Department	Update at 18-month
recommended that	development of a	Chair	follow-up report
recruitment processes for	graduate student		
both graduate students	recruitment strategy, the		

			Τ
and new faculty be	department will prioritize		
implemented for inclusion	the diversification of our		
and attraction of female	graduate student cohort.		
and diverse candidates.			
Student experience:	The department will	Department/Department	Update at 18-month
Better communication	encourage faculty to stay	Chair	follow-up report
should be sought with on-	in closer contact with		
line instruction and	students during the		
research supervisors.	pandemic and also take		
Equipment training and	extra steps to ensure		
maintenance should be	research facilities are		
recognized to make sure	available to students, so		
new students are able to	that delays are not		
effectively start their	incurred. We will review		
experimental research.	the structure of the		
Some graduate students	Seminar Course and also		
(especially, the female	revitalize the		
students) suggested they	Department Seminar		
would further benefit	Series, which lapsed		
from a department	during the pandemic.		
seminar course where	There is a three-hour		
alumni and/or other	training program offered		
prominent external	to TAs by the		
speakers (including	Department at the		
female speakers) were	beginning of each term		
brought in for talks	which will be reviewed		
regularly. A teaching	and revised, especially in		
assistant training module	light of virtual teaching,		
may help students carry	to help the TAs become		
out their teaching duties	more engaged		
with more confidence and	participants in the		
skill.	undergraduate student		
SKIII.	experience.		
Student recruitment: It is	In the 2013 IQAP review	Department/Department	Update at 18-month
recommended that	"it was noted that the	Chair	
		Chair	follow-up report
recruitment processes for	department does not		
undergrad students from	seem to have a coherent		
EngPhys (or equivalent)	graduate student		
programs at other universities in Canada be	recruitment strategy".		
	This remains the case, in		
developed.	part due to the		
	decentralized nature of		
	the recruitment process.		
	The department will		
	explore ways in which we		
	can work more		
	effectively as a group to		
	meet common		
	recruitment needs, while		
	preserving faculty		
	autonomy.	<u> </u>	
Graduate student	The department will	Department/Department	Update at 18-month

financial support: It is recommended that more active messaging be employed regarding graduate student financial support to dispel any student concerns (about pay variances).	communicate more clearly the newly established graduate pay levels, for visa/domestic MASc/PhD students. They have already introduced a new process whereby students that transfer from the Master's to PhD program receive a written statement of their funding for the duration of the program.	Chair	follow-up report
MEng program: It is recommended to evaluate the program enrollment and student satisfaction after collecting a few years of data.	The MEng program in its present form is quite new and will take several years to develop in the way we anticipate. By the next IQAP review the department will have sufficient data to establish whether the program is successful and if it should be continued, revised, or closed.	Department/Department Chair	Update at 18-month follow-up report

### **Faculty Response**

The Faculty is very pleased with the reviewers' comments in the recent IQAP review of graduate programs in the Department of Engineering Physics. The review highlights a department that is well positions on the international stage in several key areas of research related to energy and electronics and makes note of the outstanding infrastructure in the department to aids their graduate students in the very influential contributions. Students appear to be happy with the programs, faculty and resources which the department provides for timely degree completion.

A series of recommendations were offered in the report to improve the graduate programs of the department, to which the Dean's office will provide support. They note and applaud the reviewers' comments related to equity and inclusion. The department's intent to develop an improved recruitment strategy should benefit from the redesigned applications system being prepared by SGS. Efforts at the department, Faculty and university level will continue to shape our graduate community to better reflect the public we serve. The Faculty will also continue to help the department in tuning the curricula and learning outcomes of their MEng program (as well as all programs) as well as ultimately assessing its viability as more data is collected.

Some of the reviewers' recommendations are a bit short sighted though since dwelling on pandemic times for research progress does not seem helpful unless there are concerns connected to similar behaviours

occurring during times of normal operation. They want to also highlight what seems to have been missed by the reviewers that the Faculty already invests heavily in TA training with 5 hours of paid experiential training that they offer (but not been required to do) to help prepare students for their teaching assignments. More training ahead of starting one's assignment does not seem warranted though more meetings with course instructors may help alleviate the stress of expectations on these TAs.

The Faculty's goals and initiatives are closely aligned to the department and they will continue to assist in its success.

# **Quality Assurance Committee Recommendation**

McMaster's Quality Assurance Committee (QAC) reviewed the above documentation and the committee recommends that the program should follow the regular course of action with a progress report and subsequent full external cyclical review to be conducted no later than 8 years after the start of the last review.